Name of Operator:					
H.Q. Address:		Field Name and Address:			
G. Com I.I.		DI 17			
Co. Official:		Phone No.:			
Phone No.:		Fax No.:			
Fax No.:		Emergency Phone No.:			
Emergency Phone No.:		Unit Record ID#:			
Operator ID#:  Persons Interviewed	Tit	Inspection Record ID#:  tles Phone No.			
rersons interviewed	111	ies	Filone No.		
OPS Representative(s):			Date(s):		
Storage Field Maps (copies for Region File	es):				
Description of Storage Field:					
Field was converted to gas storage:					
Type of reservoir being used for storage:					
Average well depth:					
Source of the injected gas:					

### PIPE, VALVES, and FITTINGS **PIPE** ■ Pipe Grade: Outside Diameter, in.: Wall Thickness, in.: Pipe Wt. - lbs/ft: Specified Min. Yield Strength, psi: Footage or Miles: Pre-1970 ERW Pipe: VALVES Valve Size, in. Valve Type: Working Pressure, psi: Valve Actuation: **FITTINGS** ■ Fitting Size, in. ■ Fitting Type: Working Pressure, psi: WELL STIMULATION ACIDIZING Acidizing treatments used to stimulate the wells? Yes No Type(s) of acids used in treating the wells: Type(s) of inhibitors used with the acid(s): Frequency of the treatments: Volume of acid per treatment: Well cleanup procedure following treatment: If treatment is flowed back into the well/injection line, criteria used to determine that the treatment will not cause internal corrosion or erosion of the pipe. **FRACTURING** Fracturing treatments used to stimulate the wells? Yes No Type(s) of fracturing fluids used in treating the wells: Type(s) of inhibitors used with the fracturing fluids(s): Frequency of the treatments: Amount of sand per treatment: Well cleanup procedure following treatment: If treatment is flowed back into the well/injection line, criteria used to determine that the treatment will not cause internal corrosion or erosion of the pipe.

## GAS and LIQUID HANDLING FACILITIES

GAS COMPRESSION					
Location of compressors:					
Number of compressors:	Total available horsepower:				
	EHYDRATION				
Location of dehydration units:					
Type(s) of dehydration process used:					
Number of dehydration units:	Dehydration capacity:				
GAS SWEETEN	ING (Acid Gas Treating)				
Location of sweetening units:					
Type(s) of sweetening process used:					
Number of sweetening units:	Sweetening capacity:				
GAS/LIQU	ID SEPARATION				
SCRUBBERS/SEPARATORS:					
Location of scrubbers/separators:					
Type(s) of scrubbers/separators used:					
Number of scrubbers/separators:	Separation capacity:				
DRIPS:					
Location of drips:					
Type(s)of drips used:					
Number of drips:					
Frequency of draining or blowing drips:					

#### FIELD OPERATING PARAMETERS

PRESSURES, RATES and TEMPERATURES							
	Pressure, psi		Flow Rate	e, MMcf/day	Temperature, °F		
	Injection	Withdrawal	Injection	Withdrawal	Injection	Withdrawal	
Maximum							
Minimum							
Maximum Allowab	le Operating Pressur	e (field):					
		WATER	R, CO <sub>2</sub> , H <sub>2</sub> S, and O <sub>2</sub> C	CONTENT			
	Water	, lbs./MMcf	CO <sub>2</sub> , %	H <sub>2</sub> S, pp	m	O <sub>2</sub> , %	
Injection Cycl	e						
Withdrawal Cyo	cle						

### FIELD OPERATING HISTORY **LEAKS** Are leak surveys of the field being conducted? (49 CFR 192.706) Yes No Have any leaks been found over the past 5 years? No Number of leaks: Type(s) of leaks that have occurred: Cause(s) of the leaks: Locations of the leaks: Has a trend analysis been performed? Yes If a trend analysis has been done, what do the results indicate? **FAILURES** Have any failures occurred over the past 5 years? Yes Number of failures: No Type(s) of failures that have occurred: Cause(s) of the failures: Locations of the failures: Has a trend analysis been performed? Yes If a trend analysis has been done, what do the results indicate? LINE REPLACEMENTS Have any lines been replaced over the past 5 years? Yes No Number of replacements: Type(s) of replacements: Locations of the replacements: Reason(s) for replacements: LINE REPAIRS Have any lines been repaired over the past 5 years? Yes No Number of repairs: Type(s) of repairs: Locations of the repairs: Reason(s) for repairs: VALVE REPLACEMENTS Have any valves been replaced over the past 5 years? No Number of replacements: Type(s) of valve replacements: Locations of the replacements:

Reason(s) for replacements:

#### FIELD OPERATING HISTORY (cont.) GAS and LIQUID HANDLING FACILITY UPSETS Gas Dehydration Units Gas Sweetening Units Separators Number of upsets - past 3 years Cause(s) of the upsets: Has a trend analysis been performed? Yes No If a trend analysis has been done, what do the results indicate? **CORROSION CONTROL and MONITORING EXTERNAL CORROSION** Are the field piping and related storage field facilities cathodically protected? (49 CFR 192 Subpart I) Yes No Type(s) of cathodic protection used: Impressed Current Galvanic Anodes Combination Criteria used to determine adequate cathodic protection: Does the field piping system contain any bare or ineffectively coated pipe? Yes No Location(s) of the bare or ineffectively coated pipe: Amount of bare or ineffectively coated pipe: Are corrosion monitoring procedures established for the field piping and related storage field facilities? Yes No **MONITORING:** Yes No Yes No Pipe-to-soil readings Exposed pipe reports Close interval surveys Yes No Leak surveys Yes No Yes Line current surveys No Instrumented inspection surveys Yes No Remedial measures taken to mitigate corrosion: INTERNAL CORROSION Are corrosion monitoring procedures established for the field piping and related storage field facilities? Yes No **MONITORING:** Yes No Yes No Corrosion coupons Pipe replacement reports surveys Gas samples Yes No Leak surveys Yes No Liquid (water) samples Yes No Instrumented inspection surveys Yes No **CORROSION COUPONS** Frequency coupons are analyzed: Locations where coupons are installed: **GAS SAMPLES** Frequency of sampling: Locations where the samples taken:

## CORROSION CONTROL and MONITORING (cont.)

	INTERNAL CORROSION (cont.)					
GAS SAMPLES (co	nt.)					
Are the gas samples analyzed for:					Amount of the following present in the gas:	
Carbon Dioxide (CO <sub>2</sub> )		Yes		No	Carbon Dioxide (CO <sub>2</sub> )	
Hydrogen Sulfide (H <sub>2</sub> S)		Yes		No	Hydrogen Sulfide (H <sub>2</sub> S)	
Oxygen (O <sub>2</sub> )		Yes		No	Oxygen (O <sub>2</sub> )	
Water vapor		Yes		No	Water vapor	
What Carbon Dioxide (CO <sub>2</sub> ) partial pressure criteria are used to establish Carbon Dioxide (CO <sub>2</sub> ) corrosivity ranges?						
What is the Carbon Dioxide (CO <sub>2</sub> )	partia	ıl pressui	re?			
WATER SAMPLES						
Frequency of sampling:						
Locations where the samples taken:						
What constituents are the water san				(1	Refer to Water Analysis Checklist)	
Concentration of the following pres	ent ii	the wat	er:		Amount of the following gases dissolved in the water:	
Iron (Fe <sup>++</sup> )					Carbon Dioxide (CO <sub>2</sub> )	
Manganese (Mn <sup>++</sup> )					Hydrogen Sulfide (H <sub>2</sub> S)	
Chlorides (Cl <sup>-</sup> )					Oxygen (O <sub>2</sub> )	
Sulfates (SO <sub>4</sub> <sup>=</sup> )						
Is the pH of the water below 6.8?			Yes	□ N	10	
INSTRUMENTED	NSP	ECTIO	N SURV	EYS		
Frequency surveys are conducted:						
Lines that have been surveyed and when the survey was conducted:						
INHIBITOR PROGRAM:						
Has a corrosion inhibitor program b	een e	establish	ed for the	field pi	ping and related storage field facilities?	
When did the program start?						
Type(s) of treatment method used:   Batch Continuous						
Type(s) of inhibitors used:						
EROSION (INTERNAL)						
Have erosional flow rates been determined for the field piping system?  Yes  No						
Are injection/withdrawal flow rates kept below the erosional flow rates to reduce erosional effects?						
Has erosion been observed during replacement of components (lines, valves, fittings, etc.)?						
Locations where erosion has being found:						
Remedial measures taken to mitigate erosion:						

#### **CORROSION CONTROL and MONITORING (cont.)** ATMOSPHERIC CORROSION Are corrosion monitoring procedures established for the field piping and related storage field facilities? Yes No Locations where corrosion has being found: Remedial measures taken to mitigate corrosion: SAFETY DEVICES and SYSTEMS SURFACE FACILITIES Has a System Safety Analysis of the field piping and related storage field facilities been performed? Yes No Has a Safety Analysis Function Evaluation chart for the field piping and related storage field facilities been prepared? Yes No PRESSURE SAFETY DEVICES: **COMPRESSORS** Is each compressor, per 49 CFR 192.169, equipped with pressure safety devices for overpressure protection? Yes No Pressure protection provided by: Location of pressure safety devices: Primary Primary Secondary Secondary PRESSURE VESSELS Is the working pressure of each pressure vessel (dehydrator, scrubber, etc.) greater than the MAOP? Yes No Is each pressure vessel equipped with pressure safety devices for overpressure protection? Yes No Pressure protection provided by: Location of pressure safety devices: Primary Primary Secondary Secondary **HEADERS, LATERALS and WELL LINES** Are the headers, laterals and well lines equipped with pressure safety devices for overpressure protection? Yes No Pressure protection provided by: Location of pressure safety devices: Primary Primary Secondary Secondary GAS DETECTION SAFETY DEVICES: Is each compressor, per 49 CFR 192.736, building equipped with gas detection safety devices? Yes No Are other buildings that contain gas handling equipment equipped with gas detection safety devices? Yes No Type(s) of gas detection safety devices: ☐ Combustible gas (L.E.L) ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (H<sub>2</sub>S) Other: Type(s) of alarms used to notify personnel to the presence of gas: Visual Audible Combination FIRE DETECTION SAFETY DEVICES: Is each compressor building equipped with fire detection safety devices? Yes No Are other buildings that contain gas handling equipment equipped with fire detection safety devices? Yes No Type(s) of fire detection safety devices: Flame Smoke Fusible material ☐ Heat Other:

SAFETY DEVICES and SYSTEMS (cont.)								
Type(s) of alarms used to notify personnel to the presence of fire:								
☐ Visual ☐ Audible ☐ Combination								
EMERGENCY SHUTDOWN SYSTEM:								
Is each compressor station, per 49 CFR 192.167, equipped with a remote controlled emergency shutdown system?	Yes	☐ No						
Does the gas detection system activate the compressor station emergency shutdown system?	☐ Yes	☐ No						
Does the fire detection system activate the compressor station emergency shutdown system?	Yes	☐ No						
WELLS								
Is each well equipped with a well storage safety valves?								
If not, are there plans to equip each well with a well storage safety valve?								
Reasons why wells should not be equipped								
with well storage safety valves?								
ADDITIONAL COMMENTS								